UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/702,646	11/07/2003	Tetsuro Tojo	244779US3	3064	
	7590 11/19/200 AK, MCCLELLAND I	EXAMINER			
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			DINH, BACH T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1795			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		11/19/2009	ELECTRONIC		

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Office Action Summary		Application No		Applicant(s)			
		10/702,646		TOJO ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		BACH T. DINH		1795			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicati or Reply	on appears on the cove	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u	This action is non-fir	rmal matters, pro		e merits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5) 6) 7) 8)	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the applida) Of the above claim(s) is/are water ware was claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction  on Papers	ithdrawn from conside					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some coll None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2)  Notic Notic Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) 48) 5) 6)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/702,646 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Summary

- 1. This is the response to the communication filed on 06/19/2009.
- 2. Claims 1-12 remain pending in the application.
- 3. The application is not in condition for allowance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the electrolytic bath" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 6. Claim 4 recites the limitation "the electrolytic bath" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 7. Claim 6 recites the limitation "the electrolytic bath" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 8. Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Current claims recite the process in which the hydrogen fluoride is kept from being closed by back-flown and solidified electrolyte; however, their respective independent claims are drawn to fluorine gas generator. Therefore, it is unclear as to which claimed structure of the claimed fluorine gas generator keeps the fluoride feed line from being closed by back-flown and solidified electrolyte.

Application/Control Number: 10/702,646 Page 3

Art Unit: 1795

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tojo et al. (WO 01/77412) with equivalent English translation provided by Tojo et al. (US 6,818,105) in view of Saito et al. (US 6,383,300).

The recited limitations "an inert gas substitution means for" of claims 1, 4 and 6 and "a detecting means for" in claim 2 and 7 invoke 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. According to the specification, the inert gas substitution means includes the inert gas feeding line 91, the inert gas storage tank 92, the second automatic valve 73, the first automatic valve 74, and an HF feeding interruption detecting means (see specification on page 6). According to the specification, the first level sensing means 5 and the second level sensing means 6 constitutes the HF feeding interruption detecting means (see specification on page 9).

Application/Control Number: 10/702,646

Art Unit: 1795

Addressing claims 1, 4 and 6, Tojo discloses a fluorine gas generator for generating fluorine gas by electrolyzing an electrolyte comprising a hydrogen fluoride containing mixed molten salt (14:22-25), which generator is equipped with:

A hydrogen fluoride gas feed line (figure 3, HF supply line, 10:64), one end of which is connected to a hydrogen fluoride gas supply source (10:53, the hydrogen fluoride gas is continuously fed; therefore, it is inherent that the hydrogen fluoride gas supply line is connected to a hydrogen fluoride gas supply source) and the other end of which is connected to a hydrogen fluoride gas inlet disposed in an electrolyte in the electrolyte (in figures 3-4, the HF supply line has one end or the inlet disposed in the electrolyte 3 in the electrolytic bath), for feeding hydrogen fluoride gas into the electrolytic bath,

A first automatic valve disposed one the hydrogen fluoride gas feed line (10:60-65, solenoid valve) and capable of being closed on the occasion of interruption of hydrogen fluoride gas feeding (10:65-11:11, the solenoid valve is automatically closed; therefore, the valve is capable of being closed on any occasions including the occasion of interruption of hydrogen fluoride gas feeding), and

An inert gas substitution means for eliminating the hydrogen fluoride gas remaining in at least part of the line on the side downstream from the first automatic valve on the hydrogen fluoride feed line, which part is located downstream from the first automatic valve and upstream of the hydrogen fluoride gas inlet, and substituting an inert gas thereof on the occasion of interruption of hydrogen fluoride gas feeding (9:38-50,

inert gas tank 18, inert gas feed line, valves 62 and 54 of the inert supply line and the liquid level probes 8 and 9 constitute the claimed inert gas substitution means; furthermore, when electrolysis is halted, which also means the supply of hydrogen fluoride gas is also halted, the whole system is purged by the inert gas; therefore, the hydrogen fluoride gas remaining in the line downstream from the solenoid valve and upstream from the hydrogen fluoride inlet is also eliminated),

Tojo further disclose the inert gas substitution means comprises an inert gas feed line (figures 3-4).

Tojo is silent regarding the inert gas feed line is directly connected to the hydrogen fluoride feed line.

Saito discloses a heat treatment apparatus; wherein, inert nitrogen gas is used to purge the apparatus by opening valves VB3 and VB3 while closing other valves (13:53-62).

Furthermore, the inner nitrogen gas feed lines is connected to the  $N_2$  gas source 36a and connected to the reactive gas feed line at a location downstream from the automatic valve VB1 (figure 1).

Tojo and Saito are analogous arts for they disclose apparatuses that use inert gas for purging. At the time of the invention, one with ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to modify the apparatus of Tojo by connecting the inert gas feed line directly to the reactive HF feed line like that of Saito because doing so would allow one to completely purge the apparatus including the HF feed line. Furthermore, one with ordinary skill in the art would have achieved the predictable result of purging the fluorine generating apparatus when applying the known technique of purging an apparatus by

Application/Control Number: 10/702,646

Art Unit: 1795

Page 6

connecting the inert gas feed line to the reactive gas feed line downstream from the automatic valve of Saito to the known fluorine generating apparatus of Tojo. Therefore, the modified apparatus of Tojo with the inert gas feed line connected to the HF feed line on the side downstream from the solenoid valve would effectively purge or eliminate the hydrogen fluoride gas remaining in at least a part of the HF gas feed downstream from the automatic valve and upstream from the HF gas inlet.

Regarding the recited limitation "substituting an inert gas thereof in case of emergency in the fluorine gas generator" of claim 4, Tojo discloses when the electrolysis is halted or in case of emergency, the apparatus is purged (9:43-45). Therefore, the disclosure of Tojo reads on the limitation recited above of instant claim.

Regarding the recited limitation "substituting an inert gas thereof in case the first automatic valve is closed" of claim 6, Tojo discloses the level probes 8 and 9 halt electrolysis when they detect a fluctuation limit (7:12-14) and purge the apparatus with inert gas when the electrolysis is halted (9:37-51). Furthermore, Tojo discloses the liquid level probe (liquid level probe disclosed in 11:2-10) detects fluctuation in the cathode chamber and sends out a signal to close the solenoid valves when such scenario occurs (11:2-11). Therefore, Tojo discloses when a fluctuation occurs, electrolysis is halted, the solenoid valve on the HF feed line is automatically closed and the whole system is purged with inert gas, which meets the above limitation of current claim.

Addressing claims 2, 5 and 7, Tojo discloses liquid level probes 8 and 9 which constitute the claimed "a detecting means for detecting interruption of feeding of the hydrogen

fluoride gas". Tojo further discloses a second automatic valve (solenoid valve 54, figures 3-4) disposed on the inert gas feed line and operated in association with the detecting means to feed the inert gas (7:18-27, the solenoid valve 54 is opened or closed in accordance with the detection results obtained from the level probes 8 and 9). Tojo discloses an inert gas storage tank 18 (figure 1) for storing the inert gas to be fed.

Saito discloses the inert gas feed line is provided for feeding the inert gas to the reactive gas feed line on the side downstream from the automatic valve VB1 (figure 1, the inert gas feed line is connected to the reactive gas feed line on the side downstream from the automatic valve VB1); the inert gas feed line further comprises a second automatic valve VB3 and operated in association with the automatic valve VB1 to feed the inert gas into the reactive gas feed line on the side downstream from the automatic valve VB1 (11:52-59, after the completion of the film, VB1 and VB2 are closed; 12:40-49 and 13:53-62, VB3 and VB4 are opened when all the other valves are closed in order to purge the system).

In conjunction with the rejection of claims 1, 4 and 6, the modified apparatus of Tojo with the connectivity of Saito would have the inert gas feed line connected to the HF feed line on the side downstream from the solenoid valve (solenoid valve disclosed in 10:57-65) and the automatic valve 54 of the inert gas feed line operates in association with the level probes 8 and 9 to feed inert gas into the HF feed line on the side downstream from the solenoid valve (7:18-27, the solenoid valve 54 is opened or closed in accordance with the detection results obtained from the level probes 8 and 9; therefore, when the solenoid

valve 54 is opened, inert gas would be fed into the HF feed line on the side downstream from the solenoid valve).

Addressing claims 3, 8 and 9, Tojo discloses an inert gas storage tank 18 (figure 1) for storing the inert gas to be fed.

Addressing claims 10-12, Tojo discloses the electrolytic bath is kept at the constant liquid level to prevent back flow of the electrolytic bath (3:1-4). Furthermore, Tojo discloses when the pressure in the cathode chamber 7 decreases, which leads to the liquid level in the cathode chamber to be higher than the liquid level in the anode chamber, the electrolytic process is halted and purge gas is introduced into the cathode chamber 7 in order to equalize the liquid level between the cathode and anode chambers (9:20-50); thereby, prevent back flow of the electrolytic bath. Moreover, the inlet of the HF feed line 26 is disposed within the cathode chamber 7; therefore, when the inert gas line is connected directly to the HF feed line in the manner discussed in the rejection of claim 1, the pressure generated by the introduction of the inert gas into the HF feed line would prevent back flow of the electrolyte and the solidification of the electrolyte. In the alternative, at the time of the invention, one with ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to modify the fluorine gas generator of Tojo to prevent backflow of the electrolyte to the HF feed line because if the back flow of the electrolyte is able to get into the HF feed line that means the pressure inside the cathode chamber 7 is low and the liquid level between the cathode and anode chambers are not equal. Therefore, in order

Application/Control Number: 10/702,646

Art Unit: 1795

to keep the liquid level between the cathode and anode chambers at an equal level, one would have to increase the pressure in the cathode chamber by introducing inert gas (9:37-50); thereby, prevent back flow of the electrolyte in the cathode chamber 7, which includes preventing the back flow of the electrolyte in the hydrogen fluoride feed line. Hence, the hydrogen fluoride feed line would be prevented from being closed by back flow and the solidification of electrolyte.

Page 9

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 06/19/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the rejection of claims 1-12, Applicant argued that one would not have motivated to provide a purge gas line in the HF feed line leading to the feed port 26 because the purge gas of Saito and Tojo are introduced for different purposes. The argument is not persuasive for the following reasons. Firstly, Tojo recognizes that HF is a corrosive gas (7:66-8:5). Secondly, both Saito and Tojo disclose using inert gas for purging the system. Therefore, realizing the corrosive property of HF gas, one would have found it obvious to connect the inert purge gas line to the HF feed line in order to purge the corrosive HF gas from the HF feed line.

Applicant also argued that the combination of Saito and Tojo would not have achieved the unpredictable results of preventing inflow of the electrolytic bath into the HF feed line. This argument is not persuasive for the following reasons. Firstly, the problems associated with back flow of the electrolytic bath are already recognized by Tojo (3:1-4);

Application/Control Number: 10/702,646 Page 10

Art Unit: 1795

therefore, such problems are not unpredictable and would be undesirable for all the components of the fluorine gas generator of Tojo, which includes the HF feed line. Secondly, Tojo discloses overcoming back flow of the electrolytic bath by introducing purge gas into the cathode and anode chambers to increase the pressure; thereby, keeping the liquid level of the cathode and anode chambers at an equal level. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one with ordinary skill in the art to connect the purge gas line directly to the HF feed line in order to increase the pressure inside the HF feed line and the cathode chamber in order to prevent back flow of the electrolytic bath. Furthermore, if the back flow of the electrolytic bath into the hydrogen fluoride feed line is prevented, it is inherent that such step would prevent the hydrogen feed line from being closed by the solidification of the electrolyte.

#### Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BACH T. DINH whose telephone number is (571)270-5118. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday EST 7:00 A.M-3:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam X. Nguyen can be reached on (571)272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Nam X Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1753

BD 11/13/2009